

From: [Patricia Malatesta](#)
To: [Robin Proebsting](#)
Subject: Re: East Seattle (ES) Property
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 5:38:16 PM

This is still very small in comparison to other new houses in the area and especially , on the island. Go around and see for yourself the new houses. 30 and 60 ft frontage was done built the time the homes used as summer homes. What is the frontage for the Koval property development. You are using E Seattle as fulfilling density-wow. NIMBY.

Appreciate your answer.

Patricia

> On Jul 17, 2019, at 1:53 PM, Robin Proebsting <robin.proebsting@mercergov.org> wrote:

>

> Greetings Patricia,

>

> A minimum of a 60-foot lot width is required in the R-8.4 zone, which are the areas in purple on the map attached.

>

> Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

>

> All the best,

> Robin

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Patricia Malatesta <pamalatesta@icloud.com>

> Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 10:24 PM

> To: Robin Proebsting <robin.proebsting@mercergov.org>

> Subject: Re: East Seattle (ES) Property

>

> Where else on Island are 60 ' lots allowed?

>

>

> Patricia

>

>

>

>> On Jul 16, 2019, at 11:51 AM, Robin Proebsting <robin.proebsting@mercergov.org> wrote:

>>

>> Greetings Ms. Malatesta,

>>

>> Thank you for your email. Could you help me understand what you mean by "30 foot front"? Was this drawn from the application materials submitted by the project applicant? Also, does "30 foot front", refer to the width of the proposed lots within the subdivision, or to the width of the potential future houses? Or something else, perhaps?

>>

>> Note that, in its current form, the subdivision is proposing lots of approximately 60 feet in width, consistent with the City zoning standards.

>>

>> Thank you in advance for helping me better understand your question. Please also feel free to call me directly if it would be helpful to speak.

>>

>> Kind regards,
>> Robin
>>
>> Robin Proebsting
>> Senior Planner
>> City of Mercer Island – Community Planning and Development
>> 206-275-7717| mercergov.org
>> Notice: Emails and attachments may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act (chapter 42.56 RCW).

>>
>>
>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Patricia Malatesta <pamalatesta@icloud.com>
>> Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2019 6:11 PM
>> To: Robin Proebsting <robin.proebsting@mercergov.org>
>> Subject: Re: East Seattle (ES) Property

>>
>> No home has been built with a 30 foot front since an exception was made for Jerry Bacon who was the city planner or manager in early 70's. Why now are you using the outdated facts?

>>
>>
>>

>> Patricia

>>
>>
>>

>>>> On Jul 1, 2019, at 11:49 AM, Robin Proebsting <robin.proebsting@mercergov.org> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>

>>>> Greetings Ms. Malatesta,
>>>> Thank you for providing these comments—they will be taken into consideration during review of the subdivision and shared with the project applicant.

>>>>

>>>> Thank you again, and best regards,

>>>> Robin

>>>>

>>>> Robin Proebsting
>>>> Senior Planner
>>>> City of Mercer Island – Community Planning and Development
>>>> 206-275-7717| mercergov.org

>>>> Notice: Emails and attachments may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act (chapter 42.56 RCW).

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>> From: Patricia Malatesta <pamalatesta@icloud.com>
>>>> Sent: Sunday, June 23, 2019 5:56 PM
>>>> To: Robin Proebsting <robin.proebsting@mercergov.org>
>>>> Subject: East Seattle (ES) Property

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Thank you for keeping me informed about the East Seattle Property Development.

>>>>

>>>> Concerns:

>>>> Size of lots is too small.

>>>> Yes the ES is platted with 30 ft lots since Area a beach get away for Residents of Seattle. Most homes are built

on a multiple of 30' sized lot. Not fair to maintain that small sized lot to squeeze so many homes onto that property. Certainly no longer the average sized lot. Your density needs to be revised. Need fewer homes.

>>> 2. No green space for our community

>>> We deserve to have some green space since the property has always been an area for residents to enjoy for recreation.

>>> If owner does live in the area- not listed in phone book- he knows that density without any green area does not enhance the area nor be consistent with the uses of the property- school and Boys and Girls Club.

>>> 3. The MISD did a disservice to the community when just gave the property to B& G's Club without any restrictions. At the time residents told would be used as a Club, never de-zoned for houses. We were promised access. Peak does not help us - much more to our oldest developed area on MU than sports for a few.

>>> 4. Need only one rd through the property. If Coval property can get one road, larger lots and fewer houses, ES residents should be afforded the same benefits.

>>>>

>>>> If this is not the right area to have input in this project, please direct me to the right area.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Patricia and Ron Malatesta

>>>> Residents of ES for over 46 years.

>>>>

>>>>

>>

>

> <Mercer Island Zoning Map.JPG>